

John Fullbrook

Subject: FW: Fol re Adult Gym Maintenance Logs IC reference -138598

From: John Fullbrook **Sent:** 02 March 2022 14:59

To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Hazel Roxby <>; Joanna Norcross < Chris Young < Tim Lloyd **Subject:** FW: Fol re Adult Gym Maintenance Logs IC reference -138598

Dear Paul

Three points to raise if I may.

First, I don't appear to have had a response regards my repeat query below – if you wouldn't mind letting me know, that would be very useful.

Second, it would be good to have you involved with this evenings Zoom meeting, but if you are unable to partake as you suggested you might be, I would still like to receive your thoughts regarding the papers I sent out, if you wouldn't mind – as I'm sure they would prove a great help in trying to achieve a general consensus.

Third, you made reference at the last Full Council meeting (and had made reference previous to this also) that it was pointless, you sending in emails, as 'they were being ignored' and or 'being put to the bottom of the pile' – Can I reassure you they are all given due consideration and are dealt with using as fair a system of prioritisation as we are able.

Indeed I think it fair to say your correspondence continues to be given more attention than most.

Kind regards

John

From: John Fullbrook **Sent:** 11 February 2022 18:30

To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross < Chris Young < Steve Northam <>; Tim Lloyd <>; Hazel Roxby < Simon Alexander <**Subject:** RE: Fol re Adult Gym Maintenance Logs IC reference -138598

Dear Paul

I'm sorry if you have misunderstood my request.

Please see highlighted below

It is important to understand your intentions regards past and any future requests for information that though you have not informed they are requests under FOI, you may at some future time, expect me to have acted as though they were.

It would be really helpful to know please.

Kind regards

John

From: Paul Campbell <**Sent:** 08 February 2022 08:51

To: John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross <>; Chris Young <>; Steve Northam <>; Tim Lloyd <>; Hazel Roxby <>; Simon Alexander **Subject:** Fol re Adult Gym Maintenance Logs IC reference -138598

Mr Fullbrook

I suggest that you refer to the ICO's Guidance "Recognising a Request made under the Freedom of Information Act (Section 8)". I believe that it contains all of the information you have sought from me.

Cllr Campbell.

From: John Fullbrook <**Sent:** 01 February 2022 10:37 AM

To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross < Chris Young <>; Steve Northam < Tim Lloyd <>; Simon Alexander <>; Hazel Roxby

Subject: Thank you and request for information

Dear Paul

Thank you for supplying both emails.

For a while I could not locate the 13th October FOI request as it had been sent onto me via Joanna, and I was searching by the wrong name!

In terms of the your original request for this case – IC-138598-F7Z9, you stated it dated from the 21st September and I wondered if I had missed an email as I could not find an email clearly asking for information under FOI. - I had already noted you had sent an email to all Councillors and myself on that date and as part of that email there was a request for this information.

I note that you have informed the ICO that this was an FOI request under the rules of the FOIA.

I think we are both aware that under the FOIA, for a request to be valid, it must be in writing, include the requesters real name, include an address for correspondence and describe the information request.

Am I to understand that you now consider all requests whereby these four factors are covered to be considered as an FOI request to the Council, and if so at what point did you intend for this to be the understanding relating to your requests.

If this is the case and indeed has been your understanding of the situation then it is extremely important for my office and this council to understand, both in terms of the general picture but also in terms of understanding what date you believe this situation was to have commenced.

If it is not the case, do you mind telling me how I am to judge either way.

In terms of my informing the ICO that I had responded to your request on the 3rd December – I had done.

The problem was I had responded to your other FOI request on that date, not this case it seems. It was unclear to me at the time which case number related to which of the two requests – my apologies.

If you could inform me as to a clearer understanding of your intentions regards recent and future requests for information as per noted above I would much appreciate it.

I am ccing in the FOI panel as I believe it would be important for them to know this also, should there be future FOI's that refer to email requests already received, but with those emails being without FOI nomenclature heading or reference, but that you would be referring to at some future date, stating to both Council and ICO that the date that the freedom of information request began was at the receipt in Council's inbox of that email.

Further it would be important to know if you expect every future request made by you, even if not referred to as FOI by heading or reference to be considered as an FOI so that I can respond to it using the FOI protocol.

Kind regards
John