

Subject: FW: Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

From: John Fullbrook **Sent:** 27 October 2022 18:05

To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross <>; Hazel Roxby <Chris Young <**Subject:** RE: Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Dear Paul

Re FOI/EIR for Bus Shelter 'Beautification' project drawings and supporting information

I trust we have more than complied with your original Freedom of Information request, dated 12th October. Can you please confirm this fact.

Your sincerely

John

John Fullbrook
Clerk to the Council
01903 812042

From: Paul Campbell <> **Sent:** 25 October 2022 10:08

To: Hazel Roxby <John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross <>; Chris Young <**Subject:** RE: Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Mrs Roxby

Thank you very much for providing what I asked Mr Fullbrook for so long ago and repeatedly.

Are you or he able to provide any clarification comment on the apparent inconsistency between what Mr Fullbrook told us all was included within the lease and what the plan annexed to the lease says, please?

Also, are you or Mr Fullbrook intending to provide any notes of the working party discussions at the two onsite meetings, please? I think it would be helpful to see these while memories are still reasonably fresh.

I asked that my email and illustrations of ideas should be circulated to the members of the public present at the meetings. I have had no acknowledgement to that. Please let me know the position.

Thank you

From: Hazel Roxby < **Sent:** 21 October 2022 09:20 AM

To: Paul Campbell < John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross <>; Chris Young <**Subject:** RE: Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Dear Paul

The reason you received my email yesterday was because it was on my to do list for this week, the ICO notices had nothing to do with it as I have not seen them.

The missing drawings are an oversight, I have now numbered them in my file so that they consecutively follow each other and cannot be missed by mistake. I have now attached them all, the drawing 5 and 6 were missing from my previous email. The attached are every drawing received for the £700.00 and I have no further information. Drawing 7 shows the floor being removed to ground level in the end bay next to the urinals which was the advice given by HDC officers to give disabled access to the bus shelter.

Regards

Hazel

Deputy Clerk

01903 812042

Email hazel.roxby@steypingpc.gov.uk

From: Paul Campbell <Sent: 20 October 2022 16:14

To: Hazel Roxby <>; John Fullbrook <Cc: Joanna Norcross <>; Chris Young <Subject: RE: FoI EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Dear Mrs Roxby

Overview

Drawings numbered 6 and 7 are still missing, having been sought since 12 July 2022. Please would you now oblige with copies of these?

The Detail

While I am grateful for this message and attachments, which I assume is not entirely uninfluenced by the two further ICO decision notices in my favour received yesterday, regrettably it STILL does not give me what I asked for on 12 July 2022 and again (twice) on 12 October 2022.

"Please will you now provide me with the plan drawing (and any other drawings and supporting information produced for the £700 odd I think Mr Fullbrook said they had cost SPC) which I requested on 12 July 2022, namely a full set of the drawings and any other clarification material?" - 12 October 2022.

You have kindly provided me with drawings which the draftsman has numbered 2292 -1 through to 2292 -4, plus 2292- 7. Unfortunately, the drawings numbered 2292 – 6 and 7 are missing.

As I pointed out back on 12 July 2022, drawing 2292 -7 cannot be understood without the plan drawing showing where the sections were drawn through. I presume the necessary information is in the withheld drawing 2292 – 6 and 7. Please could these now be provided?

Thank you

Cllr Campbell

From: Hazel Roxby <**Sent:** 20 October 2022 02:48 PM

To: Paul Campbell < John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross < >; Chris Young <**Subject:** RE: Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Dear Paul

Further to your email below request for drawings of the Bus Shelter plans,

I attach the plans that have been requested by, and discussed at, the Planning and Premises meetings for the proposed Bus Shelter ramp from December 2021 to September 2022.

The Plan dated June 22 is the disabled access for the bus shelter that has been agreed by the Planning and Premises Committee in consultation with HDC'S Accessibility Officer, Conservation Officer and the Head of Property and Facilities.

Regards

Hazel

Deputy Clerk

01903 812042

Email hazel.roxby@steypingpc.gov.uk

From: Paul Campbell <**Sent:** 12 October 2022 12:18

To: John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross < >; Chris Young < >; Hazel Roxby <**Subject:** Fol EIR re Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

This is now an Fol/EIR with time running

I assume you are referring to the supporting papers sent with your email timed at 13.52 on 22 September 2022?

In which case I do not have what I requested. The only plans there are the elevations which I pointed out back in July were of no use without the plan drawing showing where the sections were taken through.

In the circumstances I am now regrettably having to make explicit what was implicit. My request should be treated as an Fol / EIR request because even though Mr Hoey made plain that information of this sort should be provided to councillors without forcing them to have recourse to the legislation you appear determined to continue to be obstructive.

I am asking you to provide what I have now asked for twice already.

Please will you now provide me with the plan drawing (and any other drawings and supporting information produced for the £700 odd I think Mr Fullbrook said they had cost SPC) which I requested on 12 July 2022, namely a full set of the drawings and any other clarification material?

In addition, I have asked for the Plan referred to in the lease of the bus shelter.

Thank you

Cllr Campbell

From: John Fullbrook <**Sent:** 12 October 2022 11:34 AM
To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross <>; Chris Young <>; Hazel Roxby <**Subject:** RE: Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Dear Paul

Members of F&GP and Premises committee's have already received the drawings – for your reference you were sent it on the 22nd September.

Regards John

From: Paul Campbell <**Sent:** 12 October 2022 10:41
To: John Fullbrook < Hazel Roxby <**Cc:** Joanna Norcross < Chris Young <**Subject:** Bus Shelter "Beautification" Project - Request for drawings and supporting information

Mr Fullbrook or Mrs Roxby

On the whole I thought the two meetings yesterday were positive.

To aid further consideration of the matter please will you now provide me with the plan drawing (and any other drawings and supporting information produced for the £700 odd I think Mr Fullbrook said they had cost SPC) which I requested on 12 July 2022? It would be very helpful to have the dimensions which I assume are marked on the plan drawing or at least could be deduced by scaling.

I hope that pursuing yet another application to the ICO will not be necessary following the remarks about provision of information which were made by Mr Hoey when he spoke about the content of his draft report.

Thank you.

Cllr Campbell

From: Paul Campbell <**Sent:** 12 July 2022 10:00 AM
To: John Fullbrook <**Cc:** Hazel Roxby < Tim Lloyd < Joanna Norcross <>; Simon Alexander < Liz Trundle < Richard Bell <>; Steve Northam <>; Rona Parsons <>; Rodney Goldsmith <>; Paul Hoey <>; Natalie Ainscough <**Subject:** SPC Dysfunction. Re: Item 6-7. Batch 2 - Supporting papers for F&GP Meeting - 12th July '22

Mr Fullbrook

No, it doesn't really help. You presumably have the requested drawings (my first email below) so this just seems to me yet another unnecessary obstruction. You appear to be trying to provoke another Fol which should be unnecessary.

It also ignores the point in my second message that piecemeal approval in principle of individual elements of the overall "beautification" scheme for the bus shelter and its environs seems to me a recipe for chaos and an unaesthetic outcome.

Trying to decide whether to treat one element as a higher priority than other elements and than other projects seems to me very unwise when we don't know what alternatives there might be as part of the overall scheme and we are having information withheld by you as to what this idea actually entails.

I am not able to attend tonight's meeting so please take this as my formal apology.

You will see that I have copied in Hoey Ainscough as I believe that repeated withholding of information is an important element of SPC's dysfunction which needs addressing. As is the rather typical personal attack by Cllr S Alexander which he chose to indulge in rather than address the actual issue.

Cllr Campbell

Sent from the phone of Paul Campbell

From: John Fullbrook <**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 July 2022, 09:23
To: Paul Campbell <**Cc:** Hazel Roxby <Tim Lloyd <>; Joanna Norcross <>; Simon Alexander <>; Liz Trundle <Richard Bell <Steve Northam >; Rona Parsons <>; Rodney Goldsmith <>; Joanna Norcross <**Subject:** RE: Item 6-7. Batch 2 - Supporting papers for F&GP Meeting - 12th July '22

Dear Paul

Thank you for your email enquires asking for more information regards item 6.7.

As per agenda – we are to discuss and agree the merits of whether we agree this project to alter the Bus shelter ought to be of a higher priority than those we have already agreed to be undertaken this year.

We are not agreeing to the details in terms of the scope of works, nor are we agreeing the appointment of a contractor – we are at the very beginning of the process, hence me only supplying this amount of information – the finer details of the scheme can hopefully be relayed to those councillors present by the members of the Planning and Premises committee who have been discussing it for some time.

This was not an initial consideration in terms of the beautification scheme – however the decision tonight will obviously effect our strategy moving forward.

Hope this helps

Kind regards

John

From: Paul Campbell <**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 July 2022, 06:58
To: John Fullbrook <>; Tim Lloyd <>; Joanna Norcross <>; Simon Alexander <>; Liz Trundle <>; Richard Bell <>; Steve Northam <>; Rona Parsons <>; Rodney Goldsmith <**Cc:** Hazel Roxby <**Subject:** Re: Item 6-7. Batch 2 - Supporting papers for F&GP Meeting - 12th July '22

Mr Fullbrook

I should add that I can see no element of "beautification" which is supposed to be going on in parallel. Seems to me the opposite.

Surely this should be approached holistically, not designing one element in isolation?

I had understood the idea was to work with the Highway Authority (WSCC) so that there could be planters/raised beds in front. Perhaps somewhere new for the SPC notice board, and that a ramp could be incorporated external to the bus shelter within the design.

I don't understand why this piecemeal approach dealing with different elements in isolation is being adopted. We have the opportunity to do something far better, I believe. That means involving Steyning in Bloom and WSCC and getting in an appropriately skilled designer with a brief which focuses on aesthetics as well as the disabled accessibility.

Cllr Campbell

Sent from the phone of Paul Campbell