

Briefing Paper – Item 7.3

Item for discussion - Working practices group to consider situation over maintenance contracts within scheme of delegation and come back to Full council with amendments if necessary

Briefing Notes

Cllr Campbell's comments

Delegation to Whom? Maintenance Contracts. I am not offering a solution. Just pointing out the problem. 3.1.12 puts management of maintenance contracts on the clerk. 2.3.14 does the same re Community Committee. 2.2.13 does the same re Fand GP. But no one has been delegated the power to enter into maintenance contracts in the first place and so that requires a decision from Full Council. I am doubtful that is a good use of the decision making of fifteen councillors.

Decision at Full Council

Cllr Campbell also **proposed, seconded** by Cllr Lloyd that the working party consider the situation over maintenance contracts and consider coming back with a report to Full Council in respect to any further amendments. **Agreed**

The Clerk supplied reference to the appropriate excerpts from the Scheme of delegation and Finance regulations for ease of reference (Chapter 10 and 11 in the Finance Regs)

Recommendation

The Members agreed that although the clerk has the 'management responsibility' of the maintenance contracts and F&GP/Community 'oversee' those contracts appropriate to that committee, there could be more clarity. Therefore, the following amendment to the scheme of delegation was proposed {Additional wording in blue} by way of a recommendation to Full Council.

Item 3.1.12 – Management of maintenance contracts and, where necessary, to enter into new contracts using the procedure laid out within the SPC 'Finance Regulations policy'

Briefing Paper – item 7.4

Item for discussion – possibly paying a real living wage.

To discuss and report back to Full Council with recommendations as to whether SPC should strive to be an “accredited living wage employer” as defined by the Living Wage Foundation, and if so, to set out a strategy by which that could be achieved with particular reference to the rates of pay of its employees and pay rises needed to achieve that.

Cllrs discussed the accreditation system and noted that there was a cost involved, however the council can put a strategy in place to achieve the increase at the next budget talks.

Cllr Northam **proposed, seconded** by Cllr Hanson that the committee recommend that the council strives toward paying the real living wage, investigating the cost to move towards paying an increased rate rather than join the Living Wage Foundation, the strategy to be discussed within the budget process over the next 2 years. **Agreed**

Briefing Paper – item 7.5

Item for discussion – Staff Furloughing.

To discuss the latest situation regarding the possible furloughing of staff during lockdown period and agree to recommend any actions necessary.

The committee thoroughly discussed the options of furloughing staff and the Governments information concerning applications from the public sector. It also noted that £12,000 worth of grant aid had been applied for and successfully obtained from HDC due to loss of income with a further £9,000 due to be applied for before the end of the current lockdown period. Also, if staff were furloughed it was noted that should the Steyning Centre become a Vaccination Hub this would create cover issues in the short term as there is a minimum period for staff to be on the scheme and therefore unavailable.

Cllr Northam **proposed, seconded** by Cllr Alexander that the committee having discussed this and in view of the Governments advice on public sector employers and the fact that the hours for Caretakers have already been cut by 50% and with the received grants to cover some of the loss of income for the Steyning Centre; the Personnel Committee are therefore, not recommending any staff to be furloughed. **Agreed.**

Briefing Note – Item 7.6

At the 27th January 2021 Personnel committee meeting, the email policy was discussed in relation to actions raised from the recent James Corrigan reports: -

The email policy was discussed at length and an amendment suggested.

Cllr Lloyd **proposed, seconded** by Cllr Norcross that the current email policy, agreed May 2020, is considered by the committee to be sufficient guidance for councillors, but in view of the desire by the committee to ensure the wellbeing of the officers, Para 3.1.11 is recommended to be changed to: ‘ Emails should only be sent during normal business hours and up to 7pm on weekdays, up to 1pm on Fridays and not to be sent during weekends and holiday periods ’. **Agreed.**

Briefing Paper – Reduction of SPC Meetings per annum – Item 8.1

Clerks notes - There is no reason for SPC to hold more meetings than any other Parish Council in Horsham district (and beyond). James Corrigan's recent reports agree that it is imperative that the number of SPC meetings is reduced. The current council have responded to the first report by Mr Corrigan by attempting to reduce the frequency by amalgamating two committees, however due to the requirement for the personnel committee to meet more frequently and the agreement that the planning committee meet more frequently and the constant urging for EOM's, the actual number of meetings is yet to be effectively reduced, indeed it has increased!

The reason there are so many meetings at SPC in comparison to others are many and varied. But most reasons are now I suggest no-longer relevant whatever they may have been in the past, and certainly there is not a necessity for them. Also, the whole nature of business has changed from the needs and requirements of the last Council and the new Council's needs. One case in point is the recent requirement for an abundance of supporting papers as each item becomes unnecessarily contentious – the time required has doubled from two years ago. Indeed, the admin surrounding each meeting far exceeds that which was required under the previous regime and in every respect.

It should be noted that since September 2020 this situation is much improved, but if we are to become more effective at completing tasks, I believe the only solution, given the current constraints, is to reduce the frequency of meetings.

The following paper is an amended schedule of Parish Council Meetings. It allows for 12 Planning Meetings, 9 Full Council Meetings, 9 Community Meetings and 8 F&GP Meetings per year, which (although it does not include Personnel and JPYC meetings – likely 10 in total per year) is some way towards what James has suggested is necessary and normal for a council of our size. **8 meetings less per year plus 10 less from not having Premises meetings. That is Approx. 48 (including Personnel meetings) down from Approx. 65+**

This was the recommendation from Personnel Committee on the 27th January 2021.

Cllr Lloyd **proposed, seconded** by Cllr Alexander to recommend to full council that the following additional meetings be removed from the proposed schedule as per supporting paper: 4th May 2021; 5th October 2021; 3rd May 2022 – Community meetings, 18th October 2021 - Full Council and 10th January 2022 Planning and then the new schedule be recommended for agreement. **Agreed**

2021/22

Steysning Parish Council Meetings

ITEM 8.1.2

7.30pm Start	Community (Tuesdays)	Finance and General Purpose (Tuesdays)	Parish Council (Mondays)	Planning (Mondays)
Jan-21	5th	12th	18th	25th
Feb-21	2nd	9th	15th	22nd
Mar-21	2nd	No Meeting	15th Annual Parish Meeting	22nd
Apr-21	6th	13th	19th	26th
May-21	No Meeting	No Meeting	17th Annual Parish Council Meeting	24th
Jun-21	8th	15th	21st	28th
Jul-21	6th	13th	19th	26th
Aug-21	No Meeting	No Meeting	No Meeting	23rd
Sep-21	7th	14th	20th	27th
Oct-21	No Meeting	No Meeting	No Meeting	25th
Nov-21	2nd	9th	15th	22nd
Dec-21	7th	14th	No Meeting	13th
Jan-22	No Meeting	11th	17th	24th
Feb-22	8th	No Meeting	21st	28th
Mar-22	8th	15th	21st Annual Parish Meeting	28th
Apr-22	5th	No Meeting	11th	25th
May-22	No Meeting	10th	16th Annual Parish Council Meeting	23rd

Combined Warden Monthly Report

Jan 2021 – Steyning, Bramber & Upper Beeding

Patrol hours (foot and vehicle) TOTAL:	108	ASB incidents TOTAL:	8
Foot patrol TOTAL:	39	Noise	1
Foot (high visibility) – Steyning	18	Neighbours	1
Foot (high visibility) – Bramber	8	Driving/vehicles	4
Foot (high visibility) – Upper Beeding	13	Bikes	0
Vehicle patrol TOTAL:	69	Alcohol /drugs	0/2
Vehicle patrol - Steyning	36	Public order	0
Vehicle patrol - Bramber	11	Clear up/disposal reports TOTAL:	8
Vehicle patrol - Upper Beeding	22	Fly tipping / flyposting	1
Notices/warnings TOTAL:	4	Graffiti	0
Fixed Penalty Notice	0	Dog fouling	5
Yellow card warning	0	Litter	0
Community Protection Warning/Notice	1	Drug litter	2
Parking alert	3	Hazards	0
Police reports TOTAL:	16	Admin	30
Phone (including 101 and 999)	0	School contact / Youth Engagement	2
Email	11	Reports to DVLA	0
E-CINS (multi-agency reporting)	0	Community events attended	0
Verbal	5	Calls and Visits to vulnerable people (all ages)	35
Media reports TOTAL:	6	Signposting	0
Press release / Community magazines	3	Safeguarding referral	0
Social Media	3	Reports to Operation Crackdown	3

ASB/crime/criminal damage

Steyning –

We were alerted to a group of 14 young people breaching Covid-19 regulations in Fletchers Croft by PCSO Foster, most individuals are already known to us for regular breaches over the past few months.

We were also alerted by the school that some of the same members of this group were also congregating in Coxham Lane regularly.

A resident contacted alerting us to the presence of a group of young people who had been gaining access to an unused building in Charlton Street. (Possibly belonging to or was part of the old Lloyds bank) The members of this group are well known to us and have had many previous interventions with ourselves and the Police over the past few months. We removed drug litter from the area and updated the PCSO's. PCSO Foster subsequently carried out visits to all of the parents with regards to COVID Breaches, this was well received by the parents and since then we've had no further issues with this group during the current lockdown.

We have had cause to report several vehicles frequenting Fetchers Croft Car Park and The Leisure Centre Car Park of an evening and breaching lockdown restrictions.

There have been ongoing issues with regards to Noise Nuisance in Chancton House. (Goring Road) Last month we issued a Community Protection Warning (CPW) since then environmental health have installed equipment to monitor the level of noise and this may result in the serving of a Noise Abatement Notice. If this is issued HDC will look to issue a Community Protection Notice. (CPN)

Bramber –

Generally very quiet in current lockdown with regards to ASB, we had a few complaints regarding an individual with mental health issues causing concern for residents. This has been ongoing for a few months across the three parishes, we have been working closely with the Police who have informed us that the individual has now left the area for mental health treatment.

Upper Beeding –

We contacted the manager of the NISA regarding their persistent and ongoing shoplifting issue. They confirmed they have been getting a positive Police response with more regular patrols and they also had a Police Sergeant in the office for an hour in a bid to catch the shoplifters. This is part of an ongoing operation as the Police have identified a group of the shoplifters who are doing this regularly across the district. We have agreed to increase our patrols in store to help deter shoplifting.

Parking/vehicles

Steyping –

Parking Alert issued, vehicle in dangerous position close to junction of Mouse Lane.

A resident informed us of a vehicle wheel spinning and doing doughnuts in Fletchers Croft car park and the Leisure Centre Car Park and also driving at high speeds in Shooting Field regularly. This is not the first report we've received in relation to this particular vehicle. We have encouraged the resident (And previous residents) to report this to Operation Crackdown. We continue to patrol these areas and are keeping an eye out for this vehicle.

Bramber-

None

Upper Beeding-

Parking alert issued, vehicle blocking junction (Hyde Street)

Parking alert issued, vehicle in Saltings Way causing obstruction.

Fly tipping/littering/graffiti

Steyping –

Large Fly Tip reported and cleared at The Bostal.

Bramber –

None reported

Upper Beeding –

None reported

Community engagement/events/meetings

None to report

Patrols (foot/visible and car)

Dog fouling patrols across the three parishes increased due to an increase in reports, this is probably due to more people out walking during the current lockdown.

Elderly and Youth

We continue our annual checks on the elderly and vulnerable of all three parishes, we have asked Bramber Parish if they have any further residents that would like contact from ourselves, they have agreed to contact residents for permissions and will send us the details of any residents that would like extra support.

We started an initiative for the residents and Dingemans which ran in line with the RSPB Bird Count 29th – 31st January. We used some funds from the Wardens Project Support budget to purchase a couple of bird feeding stations, window feeders, bird baths and bird food etc. To encourage the residents to join in we also left several RSPB magazines in the communal living room which we hope will have sparked their interest. We are hopeful this project will help to ease any feelings of isolation the residents may be facing during the current lockdown.

We will visit weekly to clean and restock the feeders for the next month and are going to install a chart with different species of birds on, so they can tick them off the list if they've spotted them! We are ever hopeful that we can resume our normal club activities for the residents in the not too distant future. This initiative was publicised on local PC Facebook groups and newsletters.

Licensing

Nothing to report.

Steining –

Bramber –

Upper Beeding –

Dog related issues

Steining –

Dog Fouling report regarding increase in dog fouling in Cuthmans Field.

Dog Fouling report regarding fouling on greenspace at Abbey Road.

Bramber –

Dog fouling issue reported in Clays Field. Double checked with Parish Chairman regarding Clays Field and the current land owners are not looking for enforce for dog fouling. We agreed a practical solution to deter people from fouling would be to add signage on the public entrances to Clays Field so people may think twice about not picking up. Roger Potter arranged for a volunteer to add signage in the area.

Another report of Dog Fouling along the river path where volunteers had actually removed two bags full of dog waste. We will increase foot patrols on the footpath to help deter this behaviour and look at existing signage to see if there's anything further we can do to help educate people regarding their behaviour.

Upper Beeding –

Dog fouling report sent to us via environmental services following a report from a resident relating to a dog fouling issue in Pound Lane. The resident witnessed the owner not picking up and when challenged was verbally abused in response. We called the resident to discuss the issue and have agreed to patrol at certain times to challenge the owner if we witness further incidents of dog fouling.

Cycling

No reports

Steyping –

Bramber –

Upper Beeding –

Briefing Note – Item 13.1

**From Cllr Campbell – regards his requested motion, and concerning
' Dealing with FOI'/SAR requests '**

{At the F&GP Meeting on 12th January} The Chair suggested that the ToR's were not set-in stone and that we should look again at the relevant provision to ensure that relevant committee members were informed. The current provision is as follows re the Clerk's responsibilities and duties: -

"3.1.17 Dealing with all FOI/SAR requests as per the FOI Policy and report these to F&GP."

Under SO9 I would be grateful if we could have the following motion on the agenda for the next Full Council meeting, please: -

Motion That the following provision in the Scheme of Delegation be amended as shown in italics "3.1.17 Dealing with all FOI/SAR requests as per the FOI Policy and report these *at its next meeting to F&GP and to copy in all relevant committee members (or full council members if the matter so relates) simultaneously with the answer being sent.*"

I am suggesting the latter amendment because otherwise, depending on the timing of the answer and the time of year, there could be a delay of two months or even longer before members became aware of what had been said on behalf of SPC notwithstanding the suggested change to make clear that it is the next meeting of F and GP to which the report should be made...

Making Steyning a 20MPH Zone

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION



What's it about?

To get feedback on the idea of making the whole of Steyning and neighbouring parts of Bramber a 20 MPH Zone.

Who can take part?

Everyone who lives in Steyning and Bramber, or who travels to Steyning for work, school, shopping or leisure reasons.

We are keen to hear from residents of all ages.

Why your views matter

Any proposals will be decided on by West Sussex County Council (WSCC). Evidence of strong local backing for any scheme is essential, so your views count!

How to take part

You can fill in and return this survey form, or you can share your views online (*see details below*).

If more than one person in your household wishes to take part, completing it online would be easiest.

This form is being sent to every household in the affected areas of Steyning & Bramber. Drop off points are listed on the back page.

The closing date is 31 March 2021.

The thinking behind this

Potential benefits



- A 20 MPH limit throughout the town should have a big effect in calming traffic, and would make our streets feel safer, healthier, and more welcoming for everyone.
- It should shift the balance in favour of walking and cycling, and help get people out of their cars.
- It would particularly benefit children and vulnerable groups who find our busy streets daunting.
- It's much safer: you are 7 times less likely to die if hit at 20 compared to 30 MPH.
- It is good for the environment as it cuts road noise and should cut emissions because there is less acceleration and braking.
- It should be largely self-enforcing, especially if we back it up with driver education and community engagement measures.

Where has this idea come from?

From a Community Working Party involving representatives from Steyning and Bramber Parish Councils, the District and County Councils, and three local community groups.



How it would work

How would a 20 MPH scheme work?

Details of the scheme would be worked out with WSCC Highways Dept. Current thinking is that:

- The Zone would cover the whole of Steyning plus the Maudlin and Castle Lane areas of Bramber, and possibly some of Clays Hill.
- Prominent 20 MPH speed signs would be installed at each of the entrances to town, with reminder signs at intervals, mostly painted in the road.
- Some physical traffic calming measures may also be needed to slow traffic down, especially on the Clays Hill & Horsham Road entrances, for example a 'pinch point' like the one by Bramber Bridge.
- Similar schemes are in place in Upper Beeding, Arundel and Chichester, so there are good local precedents.

Who would pay for it?

- The costs involved in implementing a 20MPH Zone would be relatively modest and would be shared between WSCC and Steyning and Bramber Parish Councils.
- Both Parish Councils have funds earmarked for community infrastructure projects available, as a result of 'Section 106' levies on property developments.
- So it won't need to come from local council taxes.

How soon could this happen?

- It will take a year to carry out the consultation, do the detailed design, and get WSCC approval.
- All going well, work to implement the schemes could start in 2022.

What do you think ?

How to take part in the survey

You can either fill it in:

On paper: by completing this form and returning it to one of the drop-off points below, or

Online: at www.steyningpc.gov.uk/20MPH

The online version allows space for comments and includes some additional questions to test the appetite for further road calming and sustainable transport measures.

Your details:

Name:

Where do you live?

☐ **Steyning**

☐ **Bramber - Maudlin Lane, Clays Hill or Castle Lane areas**

☐ **Elsewhere** *(please specify)*

Your views on a 20MPH Zone:

Are you in favour of creating a 20MPH zone in Steyning along the lines indicated?

☐ **Yes**

☐ **No**

☐ **Not sure**

Returning this form

Please drop it off at the special box provided at Steyning Post Office, or mail it or put it through the letterbox of the Steyning Centre, Fletchers Croft, Steyning BN44 3XZ

The closing date is 31 March 2021

Thank you for taking part!

20 MPH ZONE - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Introduction



What's the survey for?

The main purpose is to get feedback on the idea of making the whole of Steyning and neighbouring parts of Bramber a 20 MPH Zone.

In Part 2 we ask some additional questions to test the appetite for further road calming and sustainable transport measures that could be considered at a later date.

Who can take part?

Everyone who lives in Steyning and Bramber, or who travels to Steyning for work, school, shopping or leisure reasons. We are keen to hear from people of all ages, and more than one person from each household can take part.

Why your views matter

Any proposals will be decided on by West Sussex County Council (WSCC). Evidence of strong local backing for any scheme is essential, so your views count!

Who is behind the survey?

A Community Working Party involving our Parish, District and County Councils, and three local community groups.

The Closing Date for the Survey is 31 March 2021.



PART 1: CREATING A 20MPH ZONE IN STEYNING

Potential benefits of a 20MPH Zone

- A 20 MPH limit throughout Steyning should have a big effect in calming traffic, and would make our streets feel safer, healthier, and more welcoming for everyone.
- It should shift the balance in favour of walking and cycling, and help get people out of their cars.
- It would particularly benefit children and vulnerable groups who find our busy streets daunting.
- It's much safer: you are 7 times less likely to die if hit at 20 compared to 30 MPH. It is good for the environment as it cuts both emissions and road noise.
- It is good for the environment as it cuts road noise and should cut emissions because there is less acceleration and braking.
- It should be largely self-enforcing, especially if we back it up with driver education and community engagement measures.

How it would work

Details of the scheme would be worked out with WSCC Highways Dept. Current thinking is that:

* The Zone would cover the whole of Steyning plus the Maudlin and Castle Lane areas of Bramber, and possibly some of Clays Hill.

* Prominent 20 MPH speed signs would be installed at each of the entrances to town, with reminder signs at intervals, mostly painted in the road.

* Some physical traffic calming measures may also be needed to slow traffic down, especially on the Clays Hill & Horsham Road entrances, for example a 'pinch point' like the one by Bramber Bridge.

* Similar schemes are in place in Upper Beeding, Arundel and Chichester, so there are good local precedents.

Who would pay for it?

The costs involved in implementing a 20MPH Zone would be relatively

modest and would be shared between WSCC and Steyning and Bramber Parish Councils.

Both Parish Councils have funds earmarked for community infrastructure projects available, as a result of 'Section 106' levies on property developments. So it would not need to come from local council taxes.

How soon could this happen?

It will take a year to carry out the consultation, do the detailed design, and get WSCC approval. All going well, work to implement the scheme could start in 2022.



ARE YOU IN FAVOUR OF A 20MPH ZONE?

*** Are you in favour of the 20MPH proposal?**

To create a 20MPH Zone in Steyning, and adjoining parts of Bramber, along the lines indicated.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not
sure

What are the main factors influencing your thoughts on this?

	Not important	Important	Very important
Safety	☐	☐	☐
Noise	☐	☐	☐
Air quality	☐	☐	☐
Encourages cycling/walking	☐	☐	☐
Creates more welcoming feel to the area	☐	☐	☐

Do you have any comments to add?

PART 2: OTHER SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT MEASURES

We'd like to get your views on other sustainable transport measures that might be considered at a later date:

- A. Further traffic calming measures
- B. Introducing a lower speed limit on the Steyning Bypass
- C. Encouraging cycling and walking
- D. Encouraging greater use of public transport
- E. Improving electric car charging facilities

Please note that these are all options for the future. There are no specific plans in place for any of these measures at the moment. But the results from this survey will show how much of a public appetite there is for them, and will help make the case for them.

A. FURTHER TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES

We could take further steps to calm traffic and shift the balance in favour of walking and cycling, and make Steyning High Street more of a social space. Any ambitious plans would obviously be major projects requiring careful planning and considerable expense, and may take several years to implement. We would like to get your thoughts on two potential ideas?

Closing the High Street on more Bank Holidays and special occasions

Like happens now for the Summer Fair, but more often.

- ☐ Bad idea ☐ Doubtful idea ☐ Not sure ☐ Good idea ☐ Great idea

Making Steyning High Street a 'Shared Space'

Pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles would share the whole road, like in New Road outside Brighton's Theatre Royal. Street parking would be discouraged, and there would be more room for outdoor seating and planters. 'Pinch points' might be helpful to slow down traffic. Cars and buses could still come down the High Street they would do so at much reduced speeds.

- ☐ Bad idea ☐ Doubtful idea ☐ Not sure ☐ Good idea ☐ Great idea

Do you have any comments to add?

B. INTRODUCING A LOWER SPEED LIMIT ON THE STEYNING BYPASS

The A283 Steyning Bypass is currently 60 MPH for cars and 50 MPH for most other vehicles, in line with national regulations. Turning onto the bypass in a car is quite dangerous, and crossing it on foot or by bike feels risky, especially at busy times.

This problem will be magnified when the Steyning Grammar 6th Form moves to the Towers School, in Beeding, in September 2021, as lots of students will be needing to get to and from school during busy times.

Would you support introducing a lower speed limit on the bypass, and why?

Is introducing a lower speed limit on the bypass a good idea?

☐ Bad
idea

☐ Doubtful
idea

☐ Not
sure

☐ Good
idea

☐ Great
idea

What are the main factors influencing your thoughts on this?

	Not important	Important	Very important
Safety	☐	☐	☐
Noise	☐	☐	☐
Air quality	☐	☐	☐

Do you have any comments to add?

C. ENCOURAGING CYCLING AND WALKING

Supporting more 'active travel' should cut down on car use and be beneficial for people's health and wellbeing. We would like to find out what would encourage you to walk and cycle more, rather than taking the car.

Would any of these measures encourage you to walk more?

	No difference/not relevant	Some difference	Big difference
Wider and better maintained pavements	☐	☐	☐
More benches for resting	☐	☐	☐
Gates and stiles you don't have to climb over	☐	☐	☐
Better maintained public footpaths	☐	☐	☐

Any other suggestions on how to encourage more walking?

Would any of these measures encourage you to cycle more?

	No difference/not relevant	Some difference	Big difference
More and better cycle paths	☐	☐	☐
Cycle paths that link up better	☐	☐	☐
More bike racks in town	☐	☐	☐
Electric bike charging points	☐	☐	☐

Any other suggestions on how to encourage more cycling?

D. ENCOURAGING USE OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT

Steypning and Bramber have some bus connections, but are not very well served by public transport. Do you think improving public transport is a priority and what measures would encourage you to use it more?

We are looking at the medium and long term here, once the current Covid crisis is behind us.

Is developing better public transport for our area a priority?

☐ Low
priority

☐ Medium
priority

☐ High
priority

Would any of these measures encourage you to use public transport more?

	No difference/not relevant	Some difference	Big difference
More frequent bus services	☐	☐	☐
Better timetable connections to trains and buses in Shoreham	☐	☐	☐
Lower fares	☐	☐	☐
Combined ticketing with trains/other buses	☐	☐	☐

Where would you like to go to by bus?

Assuming the service was improved, which destinations would you use a bus to get to, and how often would you use it?

	Rarely/never	At least once a month	At least once a week	Most days
Shoreham	☐	☐	☐	☐
Brighton	☐	☐	☐	☐
Worthing	☐	☐	☐	☐
Henfield	☐	☐	☐	☐
Horsham	☐	☐	☐	☐

Other (please specify)

Any other suggestions on how to encourage more use of public transport?

E. INSTALLING ELECTRIC VEHICLE (EV) CHARGING POINTS

The shift towards electric vehicles is being actively promoted by the Government but hinges on the availability of EV charging points. At present there are no public charging points in Steyning. The nearest ones are at the Tollgate Hotel in Bramber (a slow charger), and the Esso garage in Upper Beeding (a rapid charger).

Is installing some easily accessible public EV charging facilities in Steyning and Bramber a priority?

☐ Low
priority

☐ Medium
priority

☐ High
priority

What is your situation: Could you charge an electric car at home?

Do you have space in a driveway or garage to do this?

☐ Yes - I have space at home

☐ Not relevant - I don't have a car

☐ No - there is no good space

Do you own an EV or are thinking of getting one?

Either an all-electric vehicle or a plug-in hybrid.

☐ I currently own a plug-in electric vehicle

☐ I'm thinking of getting one in the next 2 years

☐ I expect I'll get one at some point

☐ I'm not planning on getting an EV

☐ I don't drive

Do you have any comments to add?

ABOUT YOU

*** Your name**

*** Where do you live?**

- ☐ Steyning
- ☐ Bramber - Maudlin Lane / Clays Hill / Castle Lane areas
- ☐ Bramber - other parts
- ☐ Upper Beeding
- ☐ Other (please specify)

Would you like to receive a copy of the Survey Report and updates on the progress of the 20MPH Working Party?

If so please provide your email address below. This will be only used for this purpose and will not be shared with any third parties.

If you would prefer not to give your email address, you will be able to access the Survey Report on the Steyning Parish Council website.

Email Address